|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Peer feedback form** | |
| **Reviewer:** | **Report writer:** |

Read the following table of questions which have been adapted from the project marking criteria. Which questions would you like your reviewers to focus on? Agree a reasonable and appropriate number of considerations. There is space for your own questions at the end of the table. Complete one of these forms for each person you give feedback to.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Aspect** | **Question** | **Notes** |
| **Writing & presentation** | * How clear, accurate and engaging is the thesis? * How well is it structured? * Are there places that would benefit from more or less detail? * How high is the quality of the presentation? * How strong is the contextual background/literature review? * Is work cited appropriately? * How well does the writer demonstrate understanding of the wider context of the work? |  |
| **Challenge & achievement** | * How challenging and ambitious is the work? * How does the work go beyond the scope of the degree programme? |  |
| **Technical Approach** | * Is technical material handled in a clear and convincing fashion? * Are methodology, tools and techniques appropriate, with some motivation? * Is there evidence of good command of relevant tools and techniques? * Have alternatives been considered, where appropriate? * How novel are the project findings? * How sound are the results, analysis or designs? |  |
| **Critical Interpretation** | * How well has the evaluation been designed and executed? * How appropriate are metrics and techniques? Have sensible conclusions been generated? * Are conclusions supported by argument and evidence? * Is there a sophisticated critical appraisal that aligns well with the project aims? * Have relevant future work and open problems been identified? |  |
| **Other** | * Do you need to show appropriate use of AI tools (such as Chat GPT) in your report? * (add further questions of your own here as appropriate) |  |

# Appendix: Criteria for ‘excellent’ rating (70-79%)

|  | **Challenge and Achievement** | **Technical Approach** | **Critical Interpretation** | **Report Presentation** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 70-79 | \* Ambitious, challenging project that achieves all of its aims  \* Could be further developed into an academic paper or commercial product  \* Large volume of self-directed work with limited guidance from supervisor  \* Goes beyond the scope of the degree program  \* Could be used as a clear example of a very successful project | \* The project’s findings are useful  \* Methodology, tools and techniques are appropriate with some motivation  \* Some motivation and consideration of alternative approaches  \* Solid command of relevant tools and techniques, with evidence  \* Technical material is handled in a clear and convincing fashion  \* Fixing any technical problems would require minor effort | \* A suitably well designed and executed evaluation  \* Metrics and techniques are appropriate and generate sensible conclusions  \* Conclusions are supported by argument and evidence  \* Sophisticated critical appraisal that aligns well with the project aims  \* Identifies relevant future work and open problems | \* The dissertation is mostly clear, accurate, and engaging  \* Quality of presentation is high  \* Few spelling/grammar mistakes  \* Visualisation and illustration is effective throughout the dissertation  \* Appropriate prior work is properly cited  \* Chosen prior work shows good understanding of the wider context  \* The student can answer questions on their topic without significant prompts |